

# The $\lambda/2$ Dipole

\* The dipole with length  $l = \lambda/2$  is very popular because  $Z_A \approx 75 \Omega$  (specifically,  $73 \Omega$ ).

\* The directivity  $D_0$  of a  $\lambda/2$  dipole is  
 $D_0 = 1.643$

$\Rightarrow$  Not very large! Note the directivity of an isotropic radiator is 1.0!

\* The effective Aperture of a  $\lambda/2$  dipole is:

$$A_{em} = \frac{\lambda^2}{4\pi} D_0 = \frac{\lambda^2}{4\pi} (1.643) \\ = \underline{\underline{0.13 \lambda^2}}$$

Note as  $\lambda$  gets bigger (f is smaller) the length  $l$  of a  $\lambda/2$  dipole increases ( $l = \lambda/2$ ),

and the effective aperture  $A_{em}$  gets bigger!

$\Rightarrow$  A physically larger antenna typically results in larger  $A_{em}$ .

**Q:** What other reasons are there for selecting a dipole??

**A:** Its light weight and has low wind load.

**Q:** So a dipole has no problems??

**A:** No! The main problem with a  $\lambda/2$  dipole is that an antenna length  $l$  will be a half wavelength at one frequency only!

For example, a dipole with length  $l = 1\text{m}$  is a half wavelength at frequency:

$$l = \frac{\lambda}{2} = \frac{c}{2f} \Rightarrow f = \frac{c}{2(l)} = \underline{150\text{MHz}}$$

In other words the dipole length  $l = 1\text{ m}$  is a half-wave ( $\lambda/2 = l$ ) dipole for a signal at  $f = 150\text{ MHz}$  ONLY!

⇒ Thus, the impedance of the dipole is  $Z_A = 75 + j0\ \Omega$  only at  $f = 150\text{ MHz}$  one frequency.

As we move from the "resonant" frequency, the antenna impedance will become more reactive - the antenna will no longer be matched.

A dipole is a narrow-band antenna!